IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INCOME TAX REFERENCE NO.257 OF 1998

The Commissioner of Income-tax,
Bombay City - III, Bombay .. Applicant.
V/s.
M/s.Lona Ind. Pvt. Ltd. .. Respondent.

Mr.A.S. Rao for the applicant.
Mr.Arun Sathe for the respondent.

CORAM : V.C. DAGA &
J.P. DEVADHAR, JJ.

DATED : 11TH OCTOBER, 2005.

P.C. :

1. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant. The Tribunal has referred the question for the opinion of this Court reading as under :-

> Whether in the facts and in the circumstances of the case, the Tribunal was right in law in holding that the provisions of section 40(c) and not section 40A(5) apply for computing disallowance in respect of the salary and perquisites of an employee-director ?

2. The above question has already been answered by the Division Bench of this Court in the case of **CIT V/s. Hico Products Pvt.Ltd. (No.1) [(1993) 201 I.T.R. 567 (Bom)]** in favour of the assessee and against the revenue. In this view of the matter, question stands answered in affirmative for the reasons stated in the case of Hico Products (P) Ltd. Reference, accordingly stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

* * * * * * * * *